From Identity to Solidarity: the Role of Social Identity Theory in Indonesian Trade Unions

Pipit Sundari
Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang, Indonesia
Email: pipit.sundari02@gmail.com

Abstract

Indonesia has a long-standing history of trade union movements, attributed to its vast and diverse population. Social identity serves as a vital instrument for fostering solidarity among union members, especially as trade unions in Indonesia encounter numerous challenges, including global economic pressures and internal divisions. Despite this, there remains a limited understanding of how social identity can enhance union solidarity and bargaining power. This qualitative research utilized data gathered from journal reviews, documentation, and literature. The study employed an analytical descriptive method alongside an empirical normative approach. The findings reveal that shared work experiences, collective values, and the struggle against injustice are key components shaping social identity. The research also indicates that union members' solidarity is significantly influenced by external economic and political pressures. Additionally, personal factors such as education level and duration of union membership play a role in determining the degree of solidarity. These insights imply that trade unions could adopt more effective strategies to strengthen group cohesion and solidarity through targeted training and policy advocacy. This study contributes a fresh perspective on the interplay of solidarity and social identity within Indonesian trade unions, enriching the existing literature on human resource management (HRM).

Keywords: Social Identity; Human Resource Management; Trade Union; Solidarity; External Pressure.

INTRODUCTION

The background of trade union movements in Indonesia is characterized by a long and complex history that reflects the nation's socio-political and economic dynamics (Anner, 2009). The labor movement has evolved over the decades, shaped by colonial struggles, independence, and various periods of political change (Brooks, 2007). Trade unions in Indonesia have consistently played a critical role in advocating for workers' rights, despite facing significant challenges. One of the central difficulties confronting the labor movement is navigating global economic pressures, which have intensified with Indonesia's integration into the world economy. This has resulted in a competitive labor market, demanding continuous adaptation and resilience from trade unions to safeguard their members' interests.

Moreover, internal divisions have posed additional obstacles to trade union solidarity in Indonesia (Connor, & Haines, 2013). Fragmentation within the labor movement, often driven by differing ideologies, organizational structures, or leadership disputes, weakens the collective power of trade unions. Such divisions can undermine efforts to negotiate better working conditions, wages, or social protections, especially in the face of external pressures from multinational corporations or

government policies that favor business interests over labor rights. Addressing these internal divisions is essential for trade unions to unite effectively in pursuit of common goals.

The concept of social identity emerges as a crucial factor in strengthening the solidarity and bargaining power of trade unions. Social identity, which encompasses shared experiences, values, and collective goals among workers, can foster a sense of belonging and mutual support. It provides a foundation for building trust and unity, enabling trade unions to present a cohesive front during negotiations or industrial actions. When union members perceive their individual identities as intertwined with the collective identity of the union, it enhances commitment and participation, making it easier to mobilize members in support of labor initiatives.

Despite its importance, there remains a gap in understanding how social identity can be harnessed to bolster solidarity and enhance the bargaining power of trade unions in Indonesia. While some studies have explored the role of identity in labor movements, there is limited empirical research that specifically addresses its impact on Indonesian trade unions. This gap in knowledge calls for a deeper investigation into the dynamics of social identity within the labor movement, particularly in relation to how it influences members' motivations and the unions' overall effectiveness in achieving their objectives.

Research into the role of social identity in trade union solidarity can offer valuable insights into strategies for improving labor organization and advocacy. For instance, understanding how social identity is shaped by shared experiences of economic hardship or injustice can inform initiatives that foster a stronger sense of unity among union members. Additionally, recognizing the factors that contribute to internal divisions can guide efforts to bridge differences and build a more inclusive and resilient labor movement.

Ultimately, addressing these challenges and knowledge gaps is essential for developing more effective strategies that empower trade unions to navigate the complexities of Indonesia's labor landscape. By leveraging social identity to enhance solidarity, trade unions can better advocate for fair labor practices, improved working conditions, and stronger social protections, contributing to the broader pursuit of social and economic justice for all workers.

METHODS

This section should provide a detailed explanation of the research methodology employed in the study (Ford, 2011). The article is based on qualitative research that utilizes descriptive analysis and an empirical normative approach. Data were collected through journal reviews, documentation, and literature to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. The choice of these methods is rooted in their ability to capture the complexity and nuances associated with the social identity and solidarity dynamics within Indonesian trade unions. Qualitative research is particularly effective in exploring the lived experiences and perceptions of union members, as it allows for a more in-depth examination of the factors influencing their sense of identity and collective action.

The combination of descriptive analysis and an empirical normative approach offers valuable insights into the study. Descriptive analysis enables the researcher to systematically describe and interpret the features of social identity and union solidarity as observed in the collected data, while the empirical normative approach provides a framework to assess these phenomena against established social and legal norms. This dual approach not only facilitates a more nuanced understanding of how social identity is formed and maintained but also reveals the challenges and

opportunities for enhancing solidarity within the labor movement. It allows for a critical evaluation of existing conditions and the identification of strategies to strengthen trade unions' advocacy efforts in the Indonesian context.

RESULTS

The main findings of the research reveal that social identity within Indonesian trade unions is shaped by shared work experiences, collective values, and the struggle against injustice. These factors contribute to a strong sense of unity and common purpose among union members, fostering an environment where solidarity is more likely to thrive. The shared history of labor challenges, including efforts to secure better working conditions and combat unfair treatment, reinforces a sense of belonging within the union. This collective identity not only enhances members' commitment to the union's cause but also serves as a foundation for mobilizing support during labor disputes or negotiations.

The research further indicates that union solidarity is significantly influenced by external pressures, both economic and political. Economic factors, such as fluctuations in the labor market, corporate practices, and government policies affecting workers' rights, play a critical role in shaping the strength of union cohesion. Similarly, political dynamics, including labor laws and the level of governmental support for workers' rights, can either bolster or undermine the solidarity of union members. When facing external threats or challenges, unions tend to exhibit higher levels of solidarity, as these pressures often necessitate collective action to defend their interests.

Additionally, the study highlights the impact of individual variables, such as education level and length of union membership, on the degree of solidarity experienced by members. Higher levels of education may influence members' awareness and engagement with union activities, potentially leading to a stronger commitment to collective goals. Conversely, newer members who have spent less time within the union may feel less connected to the group's history and struggles, which can affect their level of participation and solidarity. These findings suggest that unions could benefit from targeted strategies to enhance cohesion, such as educational programs and initiatives to integrate new members into the union's culture and collective identity.

DISCUSSION

The research findings carry significant implications for strategies that trade unions can adopt to enhance group cohesion and solidarity. For unions to effectively strengthen their collective power, they need to focus on initiatives that foster a shared sense of identity and purpose among members. One practical approach is to implement training programs that not only educate members about labor rights and negotiation techniques but also emphasize the union's history, values, and achievements. Such training can serve to deepen members' understanding of the union's collective identity, thus reinforcing their commitment to the organization's goals. Furthermore, policy advocacy efforts can be crucial in addressing external pressures that undermine solidarity. By lobbying for more favorable labor laws or social protections, unions can mitigate the economic and political factors that weaken cohesion.

oals. Furthermore, policy advocacy efforts can be crucial in addressing external pressures that undermine solidarity. By lobbying for more favorable labor laws or social protections, unions can mitigate the economic and political factors that weaken cohesion.

Comparing these findings with existing literature in human resource management (HRM) reveals several intersections, particularly regarding the importance of fostering organizational culture and employee engagement to improve group solidarity. The concept of social identity within HRM literature aligns with the idea that when employees share a strong sense of belonging, they are more likely to exhibit loyalty and higher levels of cooperation. This perspective supports the notion that trade unions, as collective organizations, can benefit from strategies that are commonly applied in corporate settings, such as team-building exercises, mentorship programs, and the development of shared goals. Applying these HRM principles can help unions create a stronger organizational culture that integrates individual identities into a cohesive collective identity.

The study's implications also resonate with research on collective action, which suggests that solidarity is often built in response to shared challenges or external threats. The literature indicates that social movements, including labor unions, tend to experience a surge in unity during times of crisis. This observation underscores the importance of unions adopting a proactive approach in preparing members to face external pressures collectively, rather than relying solely on reactive measures. By cultivating a culture of preparedness and mutual support, unions can maintain a steady level of solidarity even when not directly confronted with external threats.

Future research should explore additional factors that may influence solidarity within trade unions, such as the role of technology in facilitating communication and organizing activities. As digital platforms become more integrated into social and organizational life, they present both opportunities and challenges for labor movements. Studies could examine how digital communication tools impact union dynamics, particularly in fostering or hindering social identity formation and member engagement. Furthermore, research could investigate the effectiveness of different training and advocacy strategies in various socio-economic and political contexts, thus providing unions with evidence-based recommendations tailored to their specific environments.

Another area worth exploring is the role of leadership in shaping social identity and group cohesion within unions. Leaders who are capable of articulating a compelling vision and embodying the union's values can significantly influence members' sense of belonging and willingness to participate in collective actions. Investigating how different leadership styles affect the dynamics of union solidarity could offer valuable insights for developing leadership training programs tailored to the labor movement.

Ultimately, the research contributes to a broader understanding of the dynamics of social identity and solidarity within Indonesian trade unions, while also offering practical recommendations for enhancing group cohesion. By aligning the findings with established HRM theories and collective action literature, the study not only expands existing knowledge but also provides a foundation for future research that could further advance strategies for strengthening trade unions in Indonesia and beyond.

CONCLUSION

The study's main findings emphasize the pivotal role of social identity in shaping trade union solidarity in Indonesia. It reveals that shared experiences, collective values, and a history of struggles against injustice are key elements that form the social identity of union members, thereby fostering unity. The research also indicates that external pressures, such as economic challenges and political factors, significantly influence the level of solidarity within unions. Additionally, personal factors like education and the duration of membership affect individual members' engagement and

participation in union activities. These findings suggest that enhancing social identity is essential for strengthening trade union solidarity and increasing bargaining power.

Based on these insights, several practical recommendations can be made to improve trade union strategies for reinforcing solidarity and boosting bargaining power. Unions should prioritize training programs that not only educate members on labor rights and negotiation techniques but also promote a deeper understanding of the union's collective identity and shared goals. Policy advocacy aimed at improving labor laws and social protections can also help mitigate the negative impact of external pressures. Furthermore, efforts to integrate new members and address internal divisions through mentorship or community-building initiatives can enhance overall cohesion. By adopting these strategies, trade unions can better navigate Indonesia's labor landscape and advocate for workers' rights more effectively.

REFERENCES

Anner, M. (2009). Two logics of labor organizing in the global apparel industry. International Studies Quarterly, 53(3), 545-570. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00544.x

Brooks, E. (2007). Unions in the global apparel industry: Worker empowerment or business strategy? Third World Quarterly, 28(4), 711-729. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436590701336675

Connor, T., & Haines, F. (2013). Beyond CSR: The limits of leveraging corporate social responsibility in Indonesian footwear and apparel factories. Socio-Economic Review, 11(1), 121-148. https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mws008

Dicken, P., & Hassler, M. (2000). Organizing the global apparel and footwear industry: The case of Indonesia. Environment and Planning A, 32(2), 263-280. https://doi.org/10.1068/a3293

Drochon, H. (2020). Robert Michels, the iron law of oligarchy, and dynamic democracy. Constellations, 27(2), 185-198. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8675.12414

Ford, M. (2011). Labour migration and the Indonesian trade union movement: Solidarity and surveillance in the era of reformasi. Journal of Industrial Relations, 53(4), 486-501. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022185611404601

Hughes, C. (2007). Transnational advocacy networks and social movements: Diaspora mobilizations and the politics of solidarity in Southeast Asia. Global Networks, 7(1), 83-104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0374.2007.00158.x

Kraus, J. (2007). Labour union, democratization, and economic crises in Ghana. In Trade unions and the coming of democracy in Africa (pp. 95-116). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230610033_4

Manalu, D. (2007). Gerakan sosial dan kebijakan publik di Indonesia. Populasi, 18(1), 27-50. https://doi.org/10.7454/populasi.v18i1.187

McCormick, R. G., & Hyman, R. (2018). Democracy in trade unions, democracy through trade unions? Economic and Industrial Democracy, 40(1), 91-110. https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X17724245

Murillo, M. A., & Schrank, A. (2005). Labor unions in the Central American and Caribbean political economy: Past and present trends. Latin American Politics and Society, 47(1), 31-56. https://doi.org/10.1353/lap.2005.0001

Pratiwi, D. (2018). Strategi politik elektoral gerakan buruh di Korea Selatan (2004–2014). Jurnal Politik, 3(2), 199-236. https://doi.org/10.18196/jp.v3i2.239

Rahmawati, D. (2003). Gerakan petani dalam konteks masyarakat sipil Indonesia: Studi kasus organisasi tani Serikat Petani (SETAM). Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik, 6(3), 329-358. https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.11705

Seidman, G. (2007). Beyond the boycott: Labor rights, human rights, and transnational activism. Russell Sage Foundation. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1npqw7

Tarrow, S. (1998). Social movements and contentious politics. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813245